

POLARIZED ENDOMORPHISMS OF COMPLEX NORMAL VARIETIES

NOBORU NAKAYAMA AND DE-QI ZHANG

ABSTRACT. It is shown that a complex normal projective variety has non-positive Kodaira dimension if it admits a non-isomorphic quasi-polarized endomorphism. The geometric structure of the variety is described by methods of equivariant lifting and fibrations.

1. INTRODUCTION

We work over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$. Much progress has been recently made in the study of endomorphisms of smooth projective varieties from the algebro-geometric viewpoint. Especially, the following cases of varieties are well studied: projective surfaces ([35], [13]), homogeneous manifolds ([40], [9]), Fano manifolds ([1], [3], [20]), projective bundles ([2]), and projective threefolds with non-negative Kodaira dimension ([12], [14]). Additionally, étale endomorphisms are investigated in [39] from the viewpoint of the birational classification of algebraic varieties. However, there is neither a classification of endomorphisms of singular varieties even when they are of dimension two (except for [38]), nor any reasonably fine classification of non-étale endomorphisms of smooth threefolds, which are then necessarily uniruled.

Let V be a normal projective variety of dimension n . An endomorphism $f: V \rightarrow V$ is called *polarized* if there is an ample divisor H such that f^*H is linearly equivalent to $\mathbf{q}H$ ($f^*H \sim \mathbf{q}H$) for a positive number $\mathbf{q}$. In this case, f is a finite surjective morphism, $\mathbf{q}$ is an integer, and $\deg f = \mathbf{q}^n$ (cf. Lemma 2.1 below). A surjective endomorphism of a variety of Picard number one is always polarized. Polarized endomorphisms of smooth projective varieties are studied in papers [11] and [45]. In the present article, we shall study the polarized endomorphisms of normal projective varieties (not only smooth ones). The following Theorems 1.1 and 1.3 are our main results.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a non-isomorphic polarized endomorphism of a normal projective variety X . Then there exist a finite morphism $\tau: V \rightarrow X$ from a normal projective variety V , a dominant rational map $\pi: V \dashrightarrow A \times S$ for an abelian variety A*

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14J10, 14E20, 32H50.

Key words and phrases. endomorphism, Calabi-Yau variety, rationally connected variety.

and a weak Calabi–Yau variety S (cf. Definition 2.9 below), and polarized endomorphisms $f_V: V \rightarrow V$, $f_A: A \rightarrow A$ and $f_S: S \rightarrow S$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $\tau \circ f_V = f \circ \tau$, $\pi \circ f_V = (f_A \times f_S) \circ \pi$, i.e., the diagram below is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A \times S & \xleftarrow{\pi} \cdots & V & \xrightarrow{\tau} & X \\ f_A \times f_S \downarrow & & f_V \downarrow & & f \downarrow \\ A \times S & \xleftarrow{\pi} \cdots & V & \xrightarrow{\tau} & X. \end{array}$$

- (2) τ is étale in codimension one.
(3) If X is not uniruled, then the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) = 0$ and π is an isomorphism.
(4) If X is uniruled, then, for the graph $\Gamma_\pi \subset V \times A \times S$ of π , the projection $\Gamma_\pi \rightarrow A \times S$ is an equi-dimensional morphism birational to the maximal rationally connected fibration (MRC fibration in the sense of [29], cf. [7], [17]) of a smooth model of V .
(5) If $\dim S > 0$, then $\dim S \geq 4$ and S contains a non-quotient singular point.

In case X is smooth and $\kappa(X) \geq 0$, Theorem 1.1 with S being a point is proved in [11], Theorem 4.2. For uniruled X , there is a discussion related to Theorem 1.1 on endomorphisms and maximal rationally connected fibrations in [45], Section 2.2, especially in Proposition 2.2.4 (cf. Remark 4.2 below). We expect that $\dim S = 0$ for the variety S in Theorem 1.1. To be precise, we propose:

Conjecture 1.2. A non-uniruled normal projective variety admitting a non-isomorphic polarized endomorphism is Q-abelian (cf. Definition 2.13 below), i.e., there is a finite surjective morphism étale in codimension one from an abelian variety onto the variety.

The conjecture has been proved affirmatively in [11], Theorem 4.2, for the case of smooth varieties with non-negative Kodaira dimension. In Theorem 3.4 below, we confirm the conjecture for a non-uniruled variety X such that $\dim X \leq 3$ or that X has only quotient singularities.

Applying Theorem 1.1 and more, we have the following classification result, where $q^\natural(X, f)$ denotes the supremum of irregularities $q(\widetilde{X}')$ of a smooth model $\widetilde{X}'$ of X' for all the finite coverings $\tau: X' \rightarrow X$ étale in codimension one and admitting an endomorphism $f': X' \rightarrow X'$ with $\tau \circ f' = f \circ \tau$; we also define a similar notion $q^\natural(X)$ (independent of f) so that $q^\natural(X, f) \leq q^\natural(X)$ in general, with equality holds when X is non-uniruled (cf. Definition 2.6, Proposition 3.5, Theorem 3.2); see also Lemmas 4.5 and 2.8.

Theorem 1.3. Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a non-isomorphic polarized endomorphism of a normal projective variety X of dimension n . Then $\kappa(X) \leq 0$ and $q^\natural(X, f) \leq n$. Furthermore, X is described as follows:

- (1) Assume that $q^h(X, f) = 0$. If $n \leq 3$, or more generally, if Conjecture 1.2 is true for varieties of dimension at most n , then X is rationally connected.
- (2) $q^h(X, f) = n$ if and only if X is Q -abelian (cf. Definition 2.13 below).
- (3) Assume that $q^h(X, f) \geq n - 3$, or more generally, that Conjecture 1.2 is true for varieties of dimension at most $n - q^h(X, f)$. Then there exist a finite covering $\tau: V \rightarrow X$ étale in codimension one, a birational morphism $\rho: Z \rightarrow V$ of normal projective varieties, and a flat surjective morphism $\varpi: Z \rightarrow A$ onto an abelian variety A of dimension $q^h(X, f)$, and polarized endomorphisms $f_V: V \rightarrow V$, $f_Z: Z \rightarrow Z$, $f_A: A \rightarrow A$ such that
- every fiber of ϖ is irreducible, normal, and rationally connected,
 - $\tau \circ f_V = f \circ \tau$, $\rho \circ f_Z = f_V \circ \rho$, and $\varpi \circ f_Z = f_A \circ \varpi$, i.e., the diagram below is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 A & \xleftarrow{\varpi} & Z & \xrightarrow{\rho} & V & \xrightarrow{\tau} & X \\
 f_A \downarrow & & f_Z \downarrow & & f_V \downarrow & & f \downarrow \\
 A & \xleftarrow{\varpi} & Z & \xrightarrow{\rho} & V & \xrightarrow{\tau} & X.
 \end{array}$$

Moreover, the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ has a finite-index subgroup which is a finitely generated abelian group of rank at most $2q^h(X, f)$.

- (4) If $q^h(X, f) = n - 1$, then there is a finite covering $\tau: V \rightarrow X$ étale in codimension one from a normal projective variety V admitting an endomorphism $f_V: V \rightarrow V$ with $\tau \circ f_V = f \circ \tau$ such that one of the following conditions is satisfied:
- (a) V is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over an abelian variety.
 - (b) There exist a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle Z over an abelian variety and a birational morphism $Z \rightarrow V$ whose exceptional locus is a section of the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle.

Notation and Conventions. The readers may refer to the standard references such as [25] and [28] for things related to the birational classification theory of algebraic varieties and the minimal model theory of projective varieties, e.g., log-terminal singularity, canonical singularity, etc.

For a normal variety X , the *canonical divisor*, denoted by K_X , is defined as the natural extension of the canonical divisor of the smooth locus of X . Details on a relation between the canonical divisor and the dualizing sheaf ω_X and details on Weil divisors on normal varieties are explained in [41], Appendix to §1. The notion of *canonical singularity* is introduced in the same paper [41].

The *Kodaira dimension* $\kappa(M)$ of a smooth projective variety M is a birational invariant. The Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X)$ of a singular projective variety X is defined as the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(M)$ of a *smooth model* M of X , i.e., a smooth projective variety M birational to X .

The *linear equivalence* relation of divisors is denoted by the symbol $\sim$, the $\mathbb{Q}$ -*linear equivalence* relation by $\sim_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and the *numerical equivalence* relation by $\approx$.

For a projective variety Z , the *singular locus* is denoted by $\text{Sing } Z$ and the *smooth locus* $Z \setminus \text{Sing } Z$ by Z_{reg} .

Let $f: Z' \rightarrow Z$ be a finite surjective morphism of normal varieties. We denote by R_f the *ramification divisor* of f , which is just the natural extension of the ramification divisor of the restriction $Z'_{\text{reg}} \cap f^{-1}(Z_{\text{reg}}) \rightarrow Z_{\text{reg}}$ of f , where the closed subset $Z' \setminus (Z'_{\text{reg}} \cap f^{-1}(Z_{\text{reg}}))$ has codimension at least two; in other words, for a prime divisor Γ on Z' , $\text{mult}_{\Gamma}(R_f) = m - 1$ if and only if $\text{mult}_{\Gamma}(f^*(f(\Gamma))) = m$, where $\text{mult}_{\Gamma}(D)$ denotes the multiplicity of a divisor D along Γ . As usual, we have the *ramification formula*: $K_{Z'} = f^*(K_Z) + R_f$. The finite surjective morphism is called *étale in codimension one* if $R_f = 0$ or equivalently if f is étale over $Z \setminus \Sigma$ for a closed subset Σ with $\text{codim}(\Sigma) \geq 2$.

Remark 1.4. If Z is smooth and if Σ is a closed subset with $\text{codim}(\Sigma) \geq 2$, then the natural homomorphism $\pi_1(Z \setminus \Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(Z)$ of the fundamental groups is isomorphic. This property implies the birational invariance of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety. Moreover, the same property implies that if Z is smooth and if $Z' \rightarrow Z$ is a finite surjective morphism étale in codimension one from a normal variety Z' , then, it is actually étale. Therefore, for an arbitrary normal variety V , a finite surjective morphism $V' \rightarrow V$ étale in codimension one from a normal variety V' is determined uniquely up to isomorphism over V by a finite index subgroup of $\pi_1(V_{\text{reg}})$.

The *irregularity* $q(X)$ of a normal projective variety X is defined as $\dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. In Definition 2.6 below, we define $q^\circ(X)$ to be the supremum of $q(X')$ for all the normal projective varieties X' with finite surjective morphisms $X' \rightarrow X$ étale in codimension one. More variants of the irregularity $q(X)$ are defined in Definition 2.6.

A normal projective variety Y with only canonical singularities is called a *weak Calabi-Yau variety* if $K_Y \sim 0$ and $q^\circ(Y) = 0$ (cf. Definition 2.9 below and its remark).

A normal projective variety W is called *$\mathbb{Q}$ -abelian* if there is a finite surjective morphism $A \rightarrow W$ étale in codimension one from an abelian variety A (cf. Definition 2.13 below and its remark). A similar notion “ $\mathbb{Q}$ -torus” is introduced in [34], which is a Kähler version and is restricted to étale coverings.

An endomorphism $f: X \rightarrow X$ is called *polarized* (resp. *quasi-polarized*) if $f^*H \sim \mathbf{q}H$ for an ample divisor (resp. a nef and big divisor) H for some positive integer $\mathbf{q}$ (cf. Lemma 2.1 below).

Acknowledgement. The second author would like to express his gratitude to Professors Frédéric Campana and Nessim Sibony for the valuable comments, and to Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University for the support and warm hospitality

during the visit in the second half of 2007. He would also like to thank the following institutes for the support and hospitality: University of Tokyo, Nagoya University, and Osaka University.

2. SOME BASIC PROPERTIES

A surjective endomorphism of a normal projective variety is a finite morphism by the same argument as in [12], Lemma 2.3. In fact, such an endomorphism $f: X \rightarrow X$ induces an automorphism $f^*: \mathbf{N}^1(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{N}^1(X)$ of the real vector space $\mathbf{N}^1(X) := \text{NS}(X) \otimes \mathbb{R}$ for the Néron–Severi group $\text{NS}(X)$, so the pullback of an ample divisor is ample, which implies the finiteness of f .

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be an endomorphism of an n -dimensional normal projective variety X such that $f^*H \approx \mathbf{q}H$ for a positive number $\mathbf{q}$ and for a nef and big divisor H . Then $\mathbf{q}$ is a positive integer and $\deg f = \mathbf{q}^n$. Moreover, the absolute value of any eigenvalue of $f^*: \mathbf{N}^1(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{N}^1(X)$ is $\mathbf{q}$.*

Proof. Comparing the self-intersection numbers $(f^*H)^n$ and H^n , we have $\deg f = \mathbf{q}^n$. In particular, $\mathbf{q}$ is an algebraic integer. Since the numerical equivalence classes of f^*H and H in $\mathbf{N}^1(X)$ belong to the image of Néron–Severi group $\text{NS}(X)$, $\mathbf{q}$ is a rational number. Hence, $\mathbf{q}$ is an integer. Let λ be the spectral radius of $f^*: \mathbf{N}^1(X) \rightarrow \mathbf{N}^1(X)$, i.e., the maximum of the absolute values of eigenvalues of f^* . Then there is a nef $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor D such that $D \not\approx 0$ and $f^*D \approx \lambda D$, by a version of the Perron–Frobenius theorem (cf. [5]). Suppose that $\lambda \neq \mathbf{q}$. Then $DH^{n-1} = 0$ by the equalities

$$\lambda \mathbf{q}^{n-1} DH^{n-1} = f^*D(f^*H)^{n-1} = (\deg f)DH^{n-1} = \mathbf{q}^n DH^{n-1}.$$

Thus, $D \approx 0$ by Lemma 2.2 below. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $\lambda = \mathbf{q}$. For the spectral radius λ' of $(f^*)^{-1}$, λ'^{-1} is the minimum of the absolute values of eigenvalues of f^* . By the same version of the Perron–Frobenius theorem, we also have a nef $\mathbb{R}$ -Cartier $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor D' such that $D' \not\approx 0$ and $f^*D' = \lambda'^{-1}D'$. Then, $\lambda' = \mathbf{q}^{-1}$ by the same reason as above. Hence, the absolute value of any eigenvalue of f^* is $\mathbf{q}$. $\square$

Corollary. *The degree of a quasi-polarized endomorphism of a normal projective variety of dimension n is the n -th power $\mathbf{q}^n$ of a positive integer $\mathbf{q}$.*

The lemma below is regarded as a generalization of a part of the Hodge index theorem and it is used in the proofs of Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 3.2.

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a smooth projective variety of dimension $n \geq 2$. Suppose that an $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor D satisfies the following two conditions:*

- (1) $DGL_1 \dots L_{n-2} \geq 0$ for any effective $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor G and for any nef $\mathbb{R}$ -divisors $L_1, \dots, L_{n-2}$.
- (2) $DH_1H_2 \dots H_{n-1} = 0$ for some nef and big $\mathbb{R}$ -divisors $H_1, \dots, H_{n-1}$.

Then D is numerically trivial.

Proof. Let A be an ample divisor on X . Then, there exist a rational number a and an effective $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor E such that $aH_1 \approx E + A$, since H_1 is big. Thus,

$$0 \leq DAH_2 \dots H_{n-1} = -DEH_2 \dots H_{n-1} \leq 0$$

by (1) and (2). Hence, we may assume $H_1 = A$. Applying the same argument to H_i for $i \geq 2$, we have $DA^{n-1} = 0$. Hence, $D^2A^{n-2} \leq 0$ in which the equality holds if and only if $D \approx 0$, by the hard Lefschetz theorem. Thus, it suffices to show: $D^2A^{n-2} \geq 0$. There is a positive integer b such that $D + bA$ is ample. In particular, $D + bA \approx \Delta$ for an effective $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor Δ . Hence, we have $D^2A^{n-2} \geq 0$ by (1), since

$$0 \leq D\Delta A^{n-2} = D(D + bA)A^{n-2} = D^2A^{n-2}. \quad \square$$

The endomorphism in Lemma 2.1 is shown to be quasi-polarized by the following:

Lemma 2.3. *Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be an endomorphism of an n -dimensional normal projective variety X such that $f^*H \approx \mathbf{q}H$ for a positive number $\mathbf{q}$ and for a nef and big divisor H . Then the following assertions hold:*

- (1) *The absolute value of any eigenvalue of $f^*: H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is $\sqrt{\mathbf{q}}$.*
- (2) *There is a nef and big divisor H' such that $H' \approx H$ and $f^*H' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbf{q}H'$.*

In particular, f is quasi-polarized by H' .

Proof. (1): There exist birational morphisms $\mu: M \rightarrow X$ and $\nu: Z \rightarrow X$ from smooth projective varieties M and Z , and a generically finite surjective morphism $h: Z \rightarrow M$ such that $\mu \circ h = f \circ \nu$. We may assume that the birational map $\psi := \mu^{-1} \circ \nu: Z \rightarrow M$ is holomorphic. Then, we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) & \xlongequal{\quad} & H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \\ \mu^* \downarrow & & \nu^* \downarrow & & \mu^* \downarrow \\ H^1(M, \mathcal{O}_M) & \xrightarrow{h^*} & H^1(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z) & \xleftarrow[\simeq]{\psi^*} & H^1(M, \mathcal{O}_M). \end{array}$$

Let $\phi(x)$ be the image of $x \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ by the composition

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\mu^*} H^1(M, \mathcal{O}_M) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^{0,1}(M) \subset H^1(M, \mathbb{C}),$$

where $H^{0,1}(M)$ is the $(0,1)$ -part of the Hodge decomposition of $H^1(M, \mathbb{C})$. Then, for $x \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, we have $\psi^*\phi(f^*(x)) = h^*\phi(x)$ by the diagram above. We consider the

following Hermitian form on $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$:

$$\langle x, y \rangle = -\sqrt{-1} \int_M \phi(x) \cup \overline{\phi(y)} \cup (\mu^* c_1(H))^{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}.$$

This is positive definite by Lemma 2.4 below applied to $L = \mu^*(H)$. We have the equality

$$\langle f^*(x), f^*(y) \rangle = \mathbf{q} \langle x, y \rangle$$

for $x, y \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ by the calculation

$$\begin{aligned} (\deg h) \langle x, y \rangle &= -\sqrt{-1} \int_Z h^*(\phi(x)) \cup \overline{h^*(\phi(y))} \cup (h^* \mu^* c_1(H))^{n-1} \\ &= -\sqrt{-1} \int_Z \psi^* \phi(f^*(x)) \cup \overline{\psi^* \phi(f^*(y))} \cup (\nu^* f^* c_1(H))^{n-1} \\ &= -\sqrt{-1} \int_M \phi(f^*(x)) \cup \overline{\phi(f^*(y))} \cup (\mu^* f^* c_1(H))^{n-1} \\ &= \mathbf{q}^{n-1} \langle f^*(x), f^*(y) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $\deg h = \deg f = \mathbf{q}^n$. Therefore, $\mathbf{q}^{-1/2} f^*$ is a unitary transformation with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Thus, the absolute value of any eigenvalue of $\mathbf{q}^{-1/2} f^*$ is 1.

(2): Let m be the order of $c_1(f^*H - \mathbf{q}H)$ in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. By the exponential exact sequence

$$H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

we can find an element $x \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ with $\mathcal{O}_X(m(f^*H - \mathbf{q}H)) = m\epsilon(x)$. There is an element $y \in H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ such that $f^*(y) - \mathbf{q}y = x$ by (1). Let H' be a divisor such that $\mathcal{O}_X(H - H') = \epsilon(y)$. Then $m(f^*H' - \mathbf{q}H') \sim 0$. Thus, we are done. $\square$

Remark. The proof of Lemma 2.3 is similar to that of [45], Theorem 1.1.2, where X is assumed to be smooth.

In the proof of Lemma 2.3, we used the result below:

Lemma 2.4. *Let M be an n -dimensional smooth projective variety and L a nef and big divisor. Then the Hermitian form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $H^{0,1}(M)$ defined by*

$$\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = -\sqrt{-1} \int_M \xi \cup \overline{\eta} \cup c_1(L)^{n-1}$$

is positive definite.

Proof. We may assume that $n \geq 2$, since it is well-known to be positive definite in case $n = 1$. If L is ample, then the bilinear form is positive definite by the hard Lefschetz theorem. Thus, the bilinear form is positive semi-definite even if we replace L with a nef divisor. Let W be a prime divisor of M . Then

$$-\sqrt{-1} \int_M \xi \cup \overline{\xi} \cup c_1(L)^{n-2} \cup c_1(W)$$

is non-negative for any $\xi \in H^{0,1}(M)$. In fact, it is equal to

$$-\sqrt{-1} \int_{\widetilde{W}} \varphi^*(\xi) \cup \overline{\varphi^*(\xi)} \cup c_1(\varphi^*L)^{n-2}$$

for a resolution of singularities $\varphi: \widetilde{W} \rightarrow W$, and it is non-negative by the reason above.

There exist a positive integer m , a smooth ample divisor A , and an effective divisor $E = \sum e_i E_i$ such that $mL \sim A + E$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} m\langle \xi, \xi \rangle &= -\sqrt{-1} \int_M \xi \cup \bar{\xi} \cup mc_1(L)^{n-1} \\ &= -\sqrt{-1} \int_A \xi|_A \cup \bar{\xi}|_A \cup c_1(L|_A)^{n-2} + \sum e_i (-\sqrt{-1}) \int_{E_i} \xi|_{E_i} \cup \bar{\xi}|_{E_i} \cup c_1(L|_{E_i})^{n-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if $\langle \xi, \xi \rangle = 0$, then

$$-\sqrt{-1} \int_A \xi|_A \cup \bar{\xi}|_A \cup c_1(L|_A)^{n-2} = 0.$$

Since $L|_A$ is nef and big, we can consider the induction on $\dim M$. Then, we have $\xi|_A = 0$ as an element of $H^{0,1}(A)$ by induction. Therefore, $\xi = 0$, since $H^1(M, \mathcal{O}_M(-A)) = 0$ by the Kodaira vanishing theorem for $n \geq 2$ and hence $H^1(M, \mathcal{O}_M) \rightarrow H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A)$ is injective. Thus, we are done. $\square$

We borrow the following property of Galois closures of powers $f^k = f \circ \cdots \circ f$ from [38]:

Lemma 2.5. *Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a non-isomorphic surjective endomorphism of a normal projective variety X . Let $\theta_k: V_k \rightarrow X$ be the Galois closure of $f^k: X \rightarrow X$ for $k \geq 1$ and let $\tau_k: V_k \rightarrow X$ be the induced finite Galois covering such that $\theta_k = f^k \circ \tau_k$. Then there exist finite Galois morphisms $g_k, h_k: V_{k+1} \rightarrow V_k$ such that $\tau_k \circ g_k = \tau_{k+1}$ and $\tau_k \circ h_k = f \circ \tau_{k+1}$.*

Proof. The composite $f^k \circ \tau_{k+1}: V_{k+1} \rightarrow X \rightarrow X$ is Galois, since so is $f^{k+1} \circ \tau_{k+1} = \theta_{k+1}$. Hence, $f^k \circ \tau_{k+1}$ factors through the Galois closure θ_k of f^k . Thus, $\tau_{k+1} = \tau_k \circ g_k$ for a morphism $g_k: V_{k+1} \rightarrow V_k$. Let H_i be the Galois group of $f^i \circ \tau_{k+1}: V_{k+1} \rightarrow X$ for $0 \leq i \leq k+1$. Then V_k is regarded as the Galois closure of $V_{k+1}/H_1 \rightarrow V_{k+1}/H_{k+1}$, thus $V_k \simeq V_{k+1}/H$ for the maximal normal subgroup H of H_{k+1} contained in H_1 . Hence, we have a morphism $h_k: V_{k+1} \rightarrow V_k$ with $\tau_k \circ h_k = f \circ \tau_{k+1}$. $\square$

Definition 2.6. Let X be a normal projective variety. The irregularity $q(X)$ is defined as $\dim H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. We define the following variants of $q(X)$:

- (1) $\tilde{q}(X) := q(\widetilde{X})$ for a smooth model $\widetilde{X}$ of X (This is well-defined).

- (2) $q^\circ(X)$ (resp. $q^\natural(X)$) is defined to be the supremum of $q(X')$ (resp. $\tilde{q}(X')$) for a normal projective variety X' with a finite surjective morphism $\tau: X' \rightarrow X$ étale in codimension one. Namely,

$$q^\circ(X) := \sup\{q(X') \mid X' \rightarrow X \text{ is finite, surjective, and étale in codimension one}\},$$

$$q^\natural(X) := \sup\{\tilde{q}(X') \mid X' \rightarrow X \text{ is finite, surjective, and étale in codimension one}\}.$$

- (3) Suppose that X admits a surjective endomorphism $f: X \rightarrow X$. Then we define $q^\circ(X, f)$ (resp. $q^\natural(X, f)$) to be the supremum of $q(X')$ (resp. $\tilde{q}(X')$) for a normal projective variety X' with a finite surjective morphism $\tau: X' \rightarrow X$ étale in codimension one and with an endomorphism $f': X' \rightarrow X'$ such that $\tau \circ f' = f \circ \tau$.

Remark. If X is a smooth projective variety, then $q^\circ(X)$ equals $q^{\max}(X)$ defined in [39].

Remark 2.7. Let $\tau: X' \rightarrow X$ be a finite surjective morphism of normal varieties étale in codimension one. Then:

- (1) X has only log-terminal singularities if and only if so does X' .
- (2) If X has only canonical singularities, then so does X' .

These well-known properties are derived from [41], Proposition (1.7) and [22], Proposition 1.7, as follows. The assertion (1) is proved just by the same argument as in the proof of [22], Proposition 1.7. If we replace the logarithmic ramification formula in the proof with the usual ramification formula, then we can prove (2); this was already done in [41], Proposition (1.7), (I). Another proof of these properties is found in [28], Proposition 5.20, but it is essentially the same as above.

Lemma 2.8. *Let X be a normal projective variety with only log-terminal singularities. Then $q^\circ(X) = q^\natural(X)$. If f is a surjective endomorphism of X , then $q^\circ(X) \geq q^\circ(X, f) = q^\natural(X, f)$.*

Proof. If X' is a normal variety with a finite covering $X' \rightarrow X$ étale in codimension one, then X' is also log-terminal (cf. Remark 2.7). In particular, X' has only rational singularities, and hence $q(X') = \tilde{q}(X')$. Thus, $q^\circ(X) = q^\natural(X)$. Considering the special case where X' admits an endomorphism compatible with f , we have $q^\circ(X, f) = q^\natural(X, f)$. We also have $q^\circ(X) \geq q^\circ(X, f)$ by definition. $\square$

Definition 2.9. A normal projective variety Y with only canonical singularities is called a *weak Calabi–Yau variety* if $K_Y \sim 0$ and $q^\circ(Y) = 0$.

Remark. The notion of weak Calabi–Yau variety is slightly different from that in [39] in which only finite étale coverings were taken into consideration. A weak Calabi–Yau variety has dimension at least two. A two-dimensional weak Calabi–Yau variety is nothing

but a normal projective surface such that the minimal resolution of singularities is a K3 surface and that there is no finite surjective morphism from any abelian surface.

Proposition 2.10. *Let X be a normal projective variety with only log-terminal singularities such that $K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. Then:*

- (1) $q^\circ(X) \leq \dim X$. In particular, there is a finite Galois covering $X' \rightarrow X$ étale in codimension one such that $q(X') = q^\circ(X)$.
- (2) $q(X) = \dim X$ if and only if X is an abelian variety.
- (3) There exists a finite covering $A \times S \rightarrow X$ étale in codimension one for an abelian variety A of dimension $q^\circ(X)$ and a weak Calabi–Yau variety S .

Proof. Let r be the smallest positive integer such that $rK_X \sim 0$. Then, there is a cyclic covering $\widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ of degree r étale in codimension one from a normal projective variety $\widehat{X}$ such that $K_{\widehat{X}} \sim 0$. The covering is unique up to isomorphism over X and is called the *global index-one covering* (or the *canonical cover* in [22]). Then, $\widehat{X}$ has only canonical singularities by [22], Proposition 1.7. Let $Y \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ be a finite covering étale in codimension one from a normal projective variety Y . Then, $K_Y \sim 0$ and Y has only canonical singularities by [41], Proposition (1.7). Let $\alpha: Y \rightarrow A := \text{Alb}(Y)$ be the Albanese map; this is holomorphic, since Y has only rational singularities (cf. [23], Lemma 8.1). Then, α is an étale fiber bundle by [23], Theorem 8.3, i.e., there is a finite étale covering $A' \rightarrow A$ from an abelian variety A' such that $Y \times_A A' \simeq F \times A'$ over A' for a fiber F of α . In particular, $q(Y) = \dim A \leq \dim X$. As a consequence, we have $q^\circ(X) \leq \dim X$, since any finite covering $X' \rightarrow X$ étale in codimension one is dominated by such a variety Y . By the boundedness of $q^\circ(X)$, we have a finite covering $X' \rightarrow X$ étale in codimension one such that $q^\circ(X) = q(X')$. The Galois closure $X'' \rightarrow X$ of $X' \rightarrow X$ is also étale in codimension one and $q^\circ(X) = q(X') \leq q(X'') \leq q^\circ(X)$. Thus, (1) has been proved.

In order to prove the other assertions (2) and (3), we may assume that $q^\circ(X) = q(Y)$ and that the composite $Y \rightarrow \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ is Galois. Let G be the Galois group of $Y \rightarrow X$.

Assume that $q(X) = \dim X$. Then, $q(X) = q(Y) = \dim Y$ and $\alpha: Y \rightarrow A$ is an isomorphism. Since the natural pullback homomorphism $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ is an isomorphism, the action of G on $H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ is trivial. Therefore, every element of G acts on A as a translation. Hence, the quotient variety $X \simeq G \backslash A$ is also an abelian variety. Conversely, if X is an abelian variety, then $q(X) = \dim X$. Thus, (2) has been proved.

We shall prove the remaining assertion (3): If $q^\circ(X) = \dim X$, then Y is an abelian variety by the argument above. Thus, we may assume that $q^\circ(X) < \dim X$. Then the fiber F of α is positive-dimensional and has only canonical singularities with $K_F \sim 0$. If $q^\circ(F) > 0$, then applying the same argument above to F , we have a finite covering

$A_0 \times F_0 \rightarrow F$ étale in codimension one for a positive-dimensional abelian variety A_0 and a normal projective variety F_0 . Thus, we have a finite covering $A' \times A_0 \times F_0 \rightarrow X$ étale in codimension one and a contradiction by

$$q^\circ(X) = q(Y) = \dim A' < \dim A' + \dim A_0 \leq q(A' \times A_0 \times F_0) \leq q^\circ(X).$$

Therefore, F is a weak Calabi–Yau variety. Hence, the covering $F \times A' \simeq Y \times_A A' \rightarrow Y \rightarrow X$ satisfies the required condition of (3). Thus, we are done. $\square$

Corollary 2.11. *Let S be a weak Calabi–Yau variety and A an abelian variety. Then $q^\circ(A \times S) = \dim A$.*

Proof. We have $q^\circ(A \times S) \geq q(A \times S) = q(A) + q(S) = \dim A$. Assume that $q^\circ(A \times S) > \dim A$. By Proposition 2.10, there is a finite surjective morphism $\tau: A' \times S' \rightarrow A \times S$ étale in codimension one for an abelian variety A' of dimension $q^\circ(A \times S)$ and a weak Calabi–Yau variety S' . Since the first projections $p_1: A \times S \rightarrow A$ and $p'_1: A' \times S' \rightarrow A'$ are the Albanese maps, we have a surjective morphism $\varphi: A' \rightarrow A$ such that $p_1 \circ \tau = \varphi \circ p'_1$. Let B be a connected component of the fiber $\varphi^{-1}(P)$ for a general point $P \in A$. Then, B is a positive-dimensional abelian variety. By restricting τ , we have a finite covering $B \times S' \rightarrow \{P\} \times S$ étale in codimension one. This contradicts that $q^\circ(S) = 0$. Thus, $q^\circ(A \times S) = \dim A$. $\square$

We have the following variant of [39], Proposition 4.3, which treats only étale coverings and varieties with only canonical singularities:

Lemma 2.12. *Let V be a normal projective variety with only log-terminal singularities such that $K_V \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. Then there exists a finite morphism $\tau: V^\sim \rightarrow V$ satisfying the following conditions, uniquely up to isomorphism over V :*

- (1) τ is étale in codimension one.
- (2) $q^\circ(V) = q(V^\sim)$.
- (3) τ is Galois.
- (4) If $\tau': V' \rightarrow V$ satisfies the conditions (1), (2), then there exists a finite surjective morphism $\sigma: V' \rightarrow V^\sim$ étale in codimension one such that $\tau' = \tau \circ \sigma$.

We call τ the *Albanese closure* of V in codimension one.

Proof. The same argument as in the proof of [39], Proposition 4.3 works as follows: We may assume that $q^\circ(V) > 0$. There is a Galois covering $W \rightarrow V$ étale in codimension one with $q(W) = q^\circ(V)$ by Proposition 2.10, (1). Then $K_W \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ and W has only log-terminal singularities (cf. Remark 2.7). Let $W \rightarrow \text{Alb}(W)$ be the Albanese map of W and $\text{Gal}(W/V)$ the Galois group of $W \rightarrow V$. Then we have a natural homomorphism

$\text{Gal}(W/V) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(H_1(\text{Alb}(W), \mathbb{Z}))$. Let G_0 be the kernel and let W_0 be the quotient variety $G_0 \backslash W$ of W by the action of G_0 . Then $q(W_0) = q(W)$, since the quotient variety of $\text{Alb}(W)$ by G_0 is an abelian variety, as in the proof of Proposition 2.10. Therefore, the Galois covering $W_0 \rightarrow V$ satisfies the conditions (1)–(3). Let $W' \rightarrow V$ be an arbitrary covering satisfying the conditions (1) and (2). Then there exist finite morphisms $W'' \rightarrow W$ and $W'' \rightarrow W'$ over V such that the composite $W'' \rightarrow V$ is Galois and étale in codimension one. Thus, $W_0'' \simeq W_0$ for the quotient variety W_0'' for W'' obtained by the same procedure as in defining W_0 from W , and there is a morphism $W' \rightarrow W_0$ over V . Hence, $V^\sim := W_0$ satisfies all the required conditions (1)–(4), and $V^\sim \rightarrow V$ is unique up to non-canonical isomorphism over V . $\square$

Definition 2.13. A normal projective variety W is called *Q-abelian* if there are an abelian variety A and a finite surjective morphism $A \rightarrow W$ which is étale in codimension one.

Remark. By Proposition 2.10, a Q-abelian variety is characterized as a normal projective variety X with only log-terminal singularities such that $K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ and $q^\circ(X) = \dim X$. The Albanese closure of a Q-abelian variety is abelian, by Proposition 2.10, (2).

A surjective endomorphism of the direct product of certain varieties is split. The following gives an example:

Lemma 2.14. *Let A be an abelian variety and S a normal projective variety with only rational singularities. Suppose that $q(S) = 0$ and that S is not uniruled. Let $f: S \times A \rightarrow S \times A$ be a surjective morphism. Then $f = f_S \times f_A$ for suitable endomorphisms f_S and f_A of S and A , respectively.*

Proof. By the universality of the Albanese map, f induces a surjective endomorphism f_A of $A = \text{Alb}(S \times A)$. We can write the endomorphism f as $S \times A \ni (s, a) \mapsto f(s, a) = (\rho_a(s), f_A(a))$, where $\rho: A \rightarrow \text{Sur}(S)$, $a \mapsto \rho_a$, is a morphism into

$$\text{Sur}(S) := \{g: S \rightarrow S \mid g \text{ is a surjective morphism}\}.$$

By [19], Theorem 3.1, the compact subvariety $\text{Im}(\rho)$ is contained in the orbit of some $f_S \in \text{Sur}(S)$ by the action of $\text{Aut}^0(S)$. For a smooth model S' of S , the birational automorphism group $\text{Bir}(S')$ contains $\text{Aut}^0(S)$ as a subgroup. By [18], Theorem (2.1), $\text{Bir}(S')$ is a disjoint union of abelian varieties of dimension equal to $q(S') = q(S) = 0$. Thus $\text{Im}(\rho)$ consists of a single element, say $\{f_S\}$. Then $f = f_S \times f_A$. $\square$

3. THE NON-UNIRULED CASE

In this section, we shall study non-isomorphic quasi-polarized endomorphisms of non-uniruled normal projective varieties. The following gives examples of such polarized endomorphisms:

Example 3.1. Let A be an abelian variety of dimension $n \geq 2$ and let H be a symmetric ample divisor, i.e., H is ample and $\iota^*H \sim H$ for the involution $\iota: x \mapsto -x$. Then the multiplication map $\mu_m: A \ni x \mapsto mx = x + \cdots + x \in A$ by an integer $m \neq 0$ is polarized by H as $\mu_m^*H \sim m^2H$ (cf. [33], Chapter II, § 6, Corollary 3). Let $X = A/\iota$ be the quotient variety by the involution ι . Then μ_m descends to a polarized endomorphism f_m of X of degree $\deg \mu_m = m^{2n}$. If $n = 2$, then X has only 16 rational double points of type A_1 as singularities and its minimal resolution of singularities is a K3 surface, called the Kummer surface of A ; in particular, f_m for $m > 1$ is not nearly étale in the sense of [39], Definition 3.2 (cf. [39], Example 3.14). If $n \geq 3$, then X has only 2^{2n} terminal cyclic quotient singular points of type $\frac{1}{2}(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ as singularities, and $2K_X \sim 0$. Thus, X is not uniruled and f_m is a non-isomorphic polarized endomorphism for $m > 1$.

In the examples above, X has only canonical singularities and $K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. These properties hold in general by the following fundamental result:

Theorem 3.2. *Let $f: V \rightarrow V$ be a surjective endomorphism of a normal projective variety V and let H be a nef and big Cartier divisor on V such that $f^*H \sim \mathbf{q}H$ for a positive integer $\mathbf{q} > 1$. Suppose that V is not uniruled. Then, there exist a projective birational morphism $\sigma: V \rightarrow X$ onto a normal projective variety X , an endomorphism f_X of X , and an ample divisor A on X such that*

- (1) X has only canonical singularities with $K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$,
- (2) $f_X^*A \sim \mathbf{q}A$,
- (3) $f_X \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ f$,
- (4) $H \sim \sigma^*A$, and
- (5) f_X is étale in codimension one.

In particular, if H is ample, then V has only canonical singularities, $K_V \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, and f is étale in codimension one.

Proof. We may assume that V is of dimension $n \geq 2$. Taking intersection numbers with $(f^*(H))^{n-1} = f^*(H) \cdots f^*(H)$ of the both sides of the ramification formula: $K_V = f^*(K_X) + R_f$, we obtain

$$(\mathbf{q} - 1)K_V H^{n-1} + R_f H^{n-1} = 0.$$

Thus, $K_V H^{n-1} \leq 0$. Let $\mu: Y \rightarrow V$ be a birational morphism from a smooth projective variety Y . Since Y is not uniruled, $K_Y(\mu^*H)^{n-1} \geq 0$ by [31]. Thus, $K_Y(\mu^*H)^{n-1} = K_V H^{n-1} = R_f H^{n-1} = 0$. Moreover, K_Y is pseudo-effective by [6] (cf. [30], §11.4.C). Thus, we have the σ -decomposition $K_Y = P_\sigma(K_Y) + N_\sigma(K_Y)$ in the sense of [36]: $N_\sigma(K_Y)$ is an effective $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor determined by the following property: $P_\sigma(K_Y) = K_Y - N_\sigma(K_Y)$ is movable, and if B is an effective $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor such that $K_Y - B$ is movable, then $N_\sigma(K_Y) \leq B$. Here, an $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor D is called *movable* if: for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any ample divisor H' and any prime divisor Γ , there is an effective $\mathbb{R}$ -divisor Δ such that $\Delta \approx D + \varepsilon H'$ and $\Gamma \not\subset \text{Supp } \Delta$ (cf. [36], Chapter III, §1.b). In particular, $P_\sigma(K_Y)$ satisfies the condition (1) of Lemma 2.2. Furthermore,

$$0 \leq P_\sigma(K_Y)(\mu^*H)^{n-1} = (K_Y - N_\sigma(K_Y))(\mu^*H)^{n-1} = -N_\sigma(K_Y)(\mu^*H)^{n-1} \leq 0.$$

Therefore, $P_\sigma(K_Y) \approx 0$ and $K_Y \approx N_\sigma(K_Y)$ by Lemma 2.2. This implies that the numerical Kodaira dimension $\kappa_\sigma(Y)$ of Y in the sense of [36], Chapter V, is zero. Namely, for any ample divisor H' on Y , the function $m \mapsto \dim H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(mK_Y + H'))$ is bounded (cf. [36], Chapter V, Corollary 1.12). By Theorem 4.8 of [36], Chapter V, which is the abundance theorem for $\kappa_\sigma = 0$, we have $\kappa(Y) = 0$. In particular, $K_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} E$ for an effective $\mathbb{Q}$ -divisor E such that $E(\mu^*H)^{n-1} = 0$. Therefore, $K_Y + \mu^*H$ has a Zariski-decomposition whose negative part is E and whose positive part is $\mathbb{Q}$ -linearly equivalent to μ^*H by [36], Chapter III, Proposition 3.7, i.e., $N_\sigma(K_Y + \mu^*H) = E$, and $P_\sigma(K_Y + \mu^*H) = \mu^*H$ is nef. The Zariski-decomposition above in the sense of [36] coincides with the Zariski-decomposition in the sense of Cutkosky–Kawamata–Moriwaki ([10], [24], [32]) or that of Fujita [15], since the divisor $K_Y + \mu^*H$ is big (cf. [36], Chapter III, Remark 1.17). Thus, the positive part $P_\sigma(K_Y + \mu^*H) = \mu^*H$ is semi-ample, and furthermore, $\text{Bs } |m\mu^*H| = \emptyset$ for $m \gg 0$, by a version of the base point free theorem (cf. [15], (A.5); [24], Theorem 1; [32], Theorem 0). In particular, $\text{Bs } |mH| = \emptyset$ for $m \gg 0$. Let $\sigma: V \rightarrow X$ be the birational morphism onto a normal projective variety X defined by the free linear system $|mH|$ for $m \gg 0$. Then $H \sim \sigma^*A$ for an ample divisor A on X . Since $(\mu_*E)H^{n-1} = R_f H^{n-1} = 0$, μ_*E and R_f are σ -exceptional. Therefore, $K_X = \sigma_*(K_V) \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \sigma_*(\mu_*(E)) = 0$ and X has only canonical singularities, since $K_Y - \mu^*\sigma^*(K_X) = E \geq 0$. By considering the Stein factorization of the composite $\sigma \circ f: V \rightarrow X$, we have an endomorphism f_X of X such that $f_X \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ f$ and $f_X^*A \sim \mathbf{q}A$. Moreover, $R_{f_X} = \sigma_*(R_f) = 0$. Hence, f_X is étale in codimension one. The last assertion follows immediately, since σ is isomorphic if H is ample. Thus, we are done. $\square$

The following gives a sufficient condition for a normal projective variety admitting polarized endomorphisms to be $\mathbb{Q}$ -abelian (cf. Definition 2.13):

Theorem 3.3. *Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a non-isomorphic polarized endomorphism of an n -dimensional normal projective variety X . Assume that f is étale in codimension one and that, for any point $P \in \text{Sing } X$, there is a connected analytic open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ of P such that the algebraic fundamental group $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{U}_{\text{reg}})$ is finite. Then X is a Q -abelian variety.*

Proof. For a positive integer k , let $\theta_k: V_k \rightarrow X$ be the Galois closure of f^k , and let τ_k, θ_k, g_k , and h_k be as in Lemma 2.5, which are all étale in codimension one (cf. Remark 1.4). Then, g_k and h_k are both étale for $k \gg 0$ by the claim below applied to the cases $(\alpha_k, \gamma_k) = (\theta_k, h_k)$ and $(\alpha_k, \gamma_k) = (\tau_k, g_k)$.

Claim. For the X above, let $\alpha_k: V_k \rightarrow X$ be finite Galois coverings and let $\gamma_k: V_{k+1} \rightarrow V_k$ be finite surjective morphisms defined for $k \geq 1$ such that α_k and γ_k are étale in codimension one and $\alpha_{k+1} = \alpha_k \circ \gamma_k$ for $k \geq 1$. Then, γ_k is étale for $k \gg 0$.

Proof. For a point $P \in \text{Sing } X$, let $\mathcal{U} \subset X$ be a connected analytic open neighborhood such that $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{U}_{\text{reg}})$ is finite. For a point $Q \in \alpha_k^{-1}(P)$, let $\mathcal{V}$ (depending on Q and k) be the connected component of $\alpha_k^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$ containing Q . We set

$$\Pi(\mathcal{U}; k) := \pi_1^{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{V} \setminus \alpha_k^{-1}(\text{Sing } X)).$$

Note that $\Pi(\mathcal{U}; k) = \pi_1^{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{V}_{\text{reg}})$ by Remark 1.4. Since α_k is Galois, $\Pi(\mathcal{U}; k)$ is independent of the choice of $Q \in \alpha_k^{-1}(P)$ and is a normal subgroup of $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{U}_{\text{reg}})$. By the finiteness assumption of $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{U}_{\text{reg}})$, we have a positive integer k_P such that the injection $\gamma_{k*}: \Pi(\mathcal{U}; k+1) \rightarrow \Pi(\mathcal{U}; k)$ is isomorphic for any $k \geq k_P$. As a consequence, we infer that $\gamma_k: V_{k+1} \rightarrow V_k$ is étale along $\alpha_{k+1}^{-1}(P)$ for any $k \geq k_P$. Since $\text{Sing } X$ is compact, we can find a positive integer k_0 such that $\gamma_k: V_{k+1} \rightarrow V_k$ is étale for any $k \geq k_0$. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 3.3 continued. We fix a large positive integer k such that g_k and h_k are both étale. We shall show that V_k is smooth. Assume the contrary that $\text{Sing } V_k \neq \emptyset$. We set $d := \dim \text{Sing } V_k$. Then $0 \leq d \leq n-2$. Since $g_k^{-1}(\text{Sing } V_k) = h_k^{-1}(\text{Sing } V_k) = \text{Sing } V_{k+1}$, the mapping degrees of $g_k: \text{Sing } V_{k+1} \rightarrow \text{Sing } V_k$ and $h_k: \text{Sing } V_{k+1} \rightarrow \text{Sing } V_k$ are $\deg g_k$ and $\deg h_k$, respectively. Then $d > 0$; otherwise, we have a contradiction by $\sharp \text{Sing } V_{k+1} = (\deg g_k) \sharp \text{Sing } V_k = (\deg h_k) \sharp \text{Sing } V_k$ and $\deg h_k > \deg g_k$. Let A be an ample divisor on X such that $f^*A \sim \mathbf{q}A$ for an integer $\mathbf{q} > 1$. We set A_l to be the ample divisor τ_l^*A for any $l \geq 1$. Then $g_k^*A_k \sim A_{k+1}$ and $h_k^*A_k \sim \mathbf{q}A_{k+1}$. Hence, we have the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Sing } V_{k+1})A_{k+1}^d &= (\text{Sing } V_{k+1})g_k^*(A_k)^d = (\deg g_k)(\text{Sing } V_k)A_k^d \\ &= \mathbf{q}^{-d}(\text{Sing } V_{k+1})h_k^*(A_k)^d = \mathbf{q}^{-d}(\deg h_k)(\text{Sing } V_k)A_k^d \end{aligned}$$

of intersection numbers. Thus, $(\text{Sing } V_k)A_k^d = 0$ by $\deg h_k = (\deg f)(\deg g_k) = \mathfrak{q}^n \deg g_k$ and $d < n$; this is absurd, since A_k is ample. Consequently, V_k is smooth. Since $g_k, h_k: V_{k+1} \rightarrow V_k$ are étale morphisms with $\deg h_k > \deg g_k$, we have

$$c_1(V_k)A_k^{n-1} = c_1(V_k)^2 A_k^{n-2} = c_2(V_k)A_k^{n-2} = 0$$

by a similar calculation of intersection numbers as above. Then $c_1(V_k)$ is numerically trivial by the hard Lefschetz theorem. Moreover, the vanishing of $c_2(V_k)A_k^{n-2}$ implies that an étale covering of V_k is an abelian variety by [44] (cf. [4]). Therefore, X is a $\mathbb{Q}$ -abelian variety. $\square$

Remark. An argument on the Galois closure in the proof of Theorem 3.3 is borrowed from [38]. A result of Campana [8], Corollary 6.3, gives another proof of Theorem 3.3 in the case where $K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ and X has only quotient singularities.

Applying Theorems 3.2 and 3.3, we have the following partial answer to Conjecture 1.2.

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a non-uniruled normal projective variety such that $\dim X \leq 3$ or that X has only quotient singularities. If X admits a non-isomorphic polarized endomorphism, then X is $\mathbb{Q}$ -abelian.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, it is enough to show that any singular point has a connected analytic open neighborhood $\mathcal{U}$ such that $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{U}_{\text{reg}})$ is finite. If X has only quotient singularities, then this is true. We know that X has only canonical singularities by Theorem 3.2. If $\dim X \leq 2$, then X has only quotient singularities. If $\dim X = 3$, then the finiteness of $\pi_1^{\text{alg}}(\mathcal{U}_{\text{reg}})$ is proved in [42], Theorem 3.6. Thus, we are done. $\square$

Even though Conjecture 1.2 is still open, we have the following:

Proposition 3.5. *Let X be a normal projective variety and $f: X \rightarrow X$ a polarized endomorphism of X such that $\deg f = \mathfrak{q}^{\dim X}$ for an integer $\mathfrak{q} \geq 1$. Assume that X has only log-terminal singularities and $K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. Then there exist a finite covering $\tau: A \times S \rightarrow X$ étale in codimension one for an abelian variety A and a weak Calabi–Yau variety S , and polarized endomorphisms $f_A: A \rightarrow A$, $f_S: S \rightarrow S$ such that $\deg f_A = \mathfrak{q}^{\dim A}$, $\deg f_S = \mathfrak{q}^{\dim S}$, and $\tau \circ (f_A \times f_S) = f \circ \tau$:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times S & \xrightarrow{f_A \times f_S} & A \times S \\ \tau \downarrow & & \tau \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & X. \end{array}$$

In particular, $q^\circ(X) = q^{\natural}(X) = q^\circ(X, f) = q^{\natural}(X, f) = q(A) = \dim A$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1 and its corollary, there is an ample divisor H on X such that $f^*(H) \sim \mathbf{q}H$.

Step 1. Reduction to the case where X has only canonical singularities with $K_X \sim 0$: Let $\nu: \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ be the global index-one cover, i.e., the minimal cyclic covering satisfying $K_{\widehat{X}} \sim 0$ (cf. the proof of Proposition 2.10). Then $\widehat{X}$ has only canonical singularities by [22], Proposition 1.7. By the uniqueness of the global index-one cover, there is an endomorphism $\hat{f}: \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ such that $\nu \circ \hat{f} = f \circ \nu$. This is shown as follows: For the normalization $X^\flat$ of the fiber product $\widehat{X} \times_X X$ of ν and f over X , let $p_1^\flat: X^\flat \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ and $p_2^\flat: X^\flat \rightarrow X$ be the morphisms induced from the first and second projections, respectively. Then, the restriction $X_i^\flat \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ of $p_1^\flat$ to any connected component $X_i^\flat$ of $X^\flat$ is finite and surjective, since so is f . Thus, pulling back a nowhere vanishing section of $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X}}(K_{\widehat{X}})$, we have a holomorphic section of $\mathcal{O}_{X^\flat}(K_{X^\flat})$, which is not zero on each connected component of $X^\flat$. On the other hand, $p_2^\flat$ is étale in codimension one, since so is ν . Hence, $K_{X^\flat} \sim 0$. Noting that $\deg p_2^\flat = \deg \nu$ and by the minimality and the uniqueness of the global-index one covering, we infer that $X^\flat$ is irreducible and that $p_2^\flat: X^\flat \rightarrow X$ is isomorphic to the global index-one covering $\nu: \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ over X . Thus, $p_1^\flat$ produces an endomorphism $\hat{f}: \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ satisfying $\nu \circ \hat{f} = f \circ \nu$. Then, $\hat{f}$ is a polarized endomorphism with $\hat{f}^*(\nu^*(H)) \sim \mathbf{q}\nu^*(H)$, since $f^*(H) \sim \mathbf{q}H$. Therefore, we may assume that X has only canonical singularities with $K_X \sim 0$ by replacing (X, f) with $(\widehat{X}, \hat{f})$. Note that the replacement does not affect the last equalities of Proposition 3.5 by Lemma 2.8.

Step 2. Reduction to the case where $q^\circ(X) = q(X)$: Let $\lambda: X^\sim \rightarrow X$ be the Albanese closure of X in codimension one defined in Lemma 2.12. By the uniqueness of λ , $X^\sim$ admits an endomorphism $\tilde{f}$ such that $\lambda \circ \tilde{f} = f \circ \lambda$. This is shown as follows: For the normalization $X^\sharp$ of the fiber product $X^\sim \times_X X$ of λ and f , let $p_1^\sharp: X^\sharp \rightarrow X^\sim$ and $p_2^\sharp: X^\sharp \rightarrow X$ be the morphisms induced from the first and second projections, respectively. Then the restriction $X_i^\sharp \rightarrow X^\sim$ of the morphism $p_1^\sharp$ to any connected component $X_i^\sharp$ of $X^\sharp$ is a finite surjective morphism, since so is f . Thus, $q(X_i^\sharp) \geq q(X^\sim) = q^\circ(X)$. On the other hand, $p_2^\sharp$ is étale in codimension one, since so is $X^\sim \rightarrow X$. By Lemma 2.12, the restriction $X_i^\sharp \rightarrow X$ of $p_2^\sharp$ to $X_i^\sharp$ factors through $\lambda: X^\sim \rightarrow X$. Thus, $\deg(X_i^\sharp/X) \geq \deg(X^\sim/X)$. Since $\deg(X^\sim/X) = \deg(X^\sharp/X) = \sum_i \deg(X_i^\sharp/X)$, we infer that $X^\sharp$ is irreducible and $p_2^\sharp: X^\sharp \rightarrow X$ is isomorphic to the Albanese closure $\lambda: X^\sim \rightarrow X$ in codimension one over X . Thus, $p_1^\sharp: X^\sharp \rightarrow X^\sim$ produces an endomorphism $\tilde{f}: X^\sim \rightarrow X^\sim$ satisfying $\lambda \circ \tilde{f} = f \circ \lambda$. Then, $\tilde{f}$ is a polarized endomorphism with $\tilde{f}^*(\lambda^*(H)) \sim \mathbf{q}\lambda^*(H)$, since $f^*(H) \sim \mathbf{q}H$. Therefore, we may assume that $q^\circ(X) = q(X)$ by replacing (X, f) with $(X^\sim, \tilde{f})$. Note again that the replacement does not affect the last equalities of Proposition 3.5 by Lemma 2.8.

Step 3. The final step: We may assume that X has only canonical singularities, $K_X \sim 0$, and $q^\circ(X) = q(X)$, by the previous steps. If $q(X) = 0$, then X is weak Calabi–Yau, and Proposition 3.5 holds in this case. Thus, we may assume that $q(X) > 0$. Let $\alpha: X \rightarrow A := \text{Alb}(X)$ be the Albanese map. Then, there is an endomorphism $f'_A: A \rightarrow A$ such that $\alpha \circ f = f'_A \circ \alpha$ by the universality of the Albanese map. By [23], Theorem 8.3, we can find an étale covering $\theta: T \rightarrow A$ such that $X \times_A T \simeq S \times T$ over T for a fiber S of α . Here, S is weak Calabi–Yau by the definition of $q^\circ(X)$. Taking a further étale covering, we may assume that $T \simeq A$ and $\theta: T \rightarrow A$ is just the multiplication map by a positive integer m for a certain group structure of A . There is an endomorphism f_A of A such that $\theta \circ f_A = f'_A \circ \theta$ by [39], Lemma 4.9. Let W be the fiber product $X \times_A A$ of $\alpha: X \rightarrow A$ and $\theta: A \rightarrow A$ over A , and let $\varphi: W \rightarrow X$ be the finite étale covering induced from the first projection. Then $W \simeq S \times A$ over A as above, and $f \times f_A: X \times A \rightarrow X \times A$ induces an endomorphism f_W of $W \subset X \times A$ such that $\varphi \circ f_W = f \circ \varphi$. In particular, f_W is a polarized endomorphism with $f_W^*(\varphi^*(H)) \sim \mathbf{q}\varphi^*(H)$ for the ample divisor $\varphi^*(H)$. We have an endomorphism $f_S: S \rightarrow S$ such that $f_W = f_S \times f_A$ by Lemma 2.14. Then, f_S and f_A are polarized endomorphisms with $\deg f_A = \mathbf{q}^{\dim A}$ and $\deg f_S = \mathbf{q}^{\dim S}$ by [37], Proposition 4.17. It remains to show the last equalities. We have $q^\natural(X) = q^\circ(X) \geq q^\natural(X, f) = q^\circ(X, f)$ by Lemma 2.8, and $q^\circ(A \times S) = q(A) = \dim A$ by Corollary 2.11. In view of the covering $A \times S \rightarrow X$ étale in codimension one, we have

$$q(A) \leq q^\circ(A \times S, f_A \times f_S) = q^\circ(X, f) \leq q^\circ(X) = q^\circ(A \times S) = q(A).$$

Thus, the expected equalities also hold. $\square$

Theorem 1.1 for non-uniruled X is a consequence of Theorems 3.2 and 3.4, and Proposition 3.5.

4. THE PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.3

The following result gives a descent property of polarized endomorphisms by maximal rationally connected fibrations, which is proved in [37], Section 4.3.

Lemma 4.1 ([37], Corollary 4.20). *Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a quasi-polarized endomorphism of a normal projective variety X . Suppose that X is uniruled. Then there exist a birational morphism $\sigma: W \rightarrow X$, an equi-dimensional surjective morphism $p: W \rightarrow Y$, and quasi-polarized endomorphisms $f_W: W \rightarrow W$, $f_Y: Y \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) W and Y are normal projective varieties, and $\dim Y < \dim X$.
- (2) Y is not uniruled if $\dim Y > 0$.
- (3) A general fiber of p is rationally connected.
- (4) $\deg f_Y = (\deg f)^{\dim Y / \dim X}$.

(5) $\sigma \circ f_W = f \circ \sigma$ and $p \circ f_W = f_Y \circ p$, i.e., the diagram below is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \xleftarrow{p} & W & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & X \\ f_Y \downarrow & & f_W \downarrow & & f \downarrow \\ Y & \xleftarrow{p} & W & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & X. \end{array}$$

(6) If f is polarized, then both f_W and f_Y are polarized.

An outline of the proof of Lemma 4.1 is as follows: We take a dominant rational map $X \dashrightarrow Y$ which is birational to the maximal rationally connected fibration $\widetilde{X} \dashrightarrow \widetilde{Y}$ (cf. [7], [29], [17]) of a smooth model $\widetilde{X}$ of X . It is determined uniquely up to birational equivalence by the property that Y is not uniruled (when $\dim Y > 0$) and a general ‘fiber’ of $X \dashrightarrow Y$ is rationally connected. Among the choices of the rational maps $X \dashrightarrow Y$, we can select a unique one up to isomorphism by the following two properties:

- The graph $\Gamma_{X/Y}$ of $X \dashrightarrow Y$ is equi-dimensional over Y .
- If $\nu: Y' \dashrightarrow Y$ is a birational map from another normal projective variety Y' such that the graph $\Gamma_{X/Y'}$ of the composite $X \dashrightarrow Y \xrightarrow{\nu^{-1}} Y'$ of rational maps is equi-dimensional over Y' , then ν is holomorphic.

The existence and the uniqueness of $X \dashrightarrow Y$ is proved in [37], Proposition 4.14 (cf. [37], Theorem 4.18). The proof uses the notion of *intersection sheaves*, which we do not explain here. The variety W is just the normalization of $\Gamma_{X/Y}$. The endomorphism f descends to an endomorphism f_Y of Y by [37], Theorem 4.19. If f is polarized (resp. quasi-polarized) then so is f_Y by [37], Corollary 4.20; more precisely, if $f^*(H) \sim \mathbf{q}H$ for an ample (resp. a nef and big) divisor H on X , where $\mathbf{q} = (\deg f)^{1/\dim X}$, then $f_Y^*(H_Y) \sim \mathbf{q}H_Y$ for an ample (resp. a nef and big) divisor H_Y on Y . The proofs of two assertions also use the notion of intersection sheaves. The endomorphism f_W of W is induced from $f \times f_Y$. Since $f^*(H) \sim \mathbf{q}H$, we have $f_W^*(H_W) \sim \mathbf{q}H_W$ for the ample (resp. nef and big) divisor $H_W = \sigma^*(H) + p^*(H_Y)$ for the induced morphisms $\sigma: W \rightarrow X$ and $p: W \rightarrow Y$. Thus, f_W is also polarized (resp. quasi-polarized).

Remark 4.2. The same assertion as in Lemma 4.1 for polarized endomorphisms is stated in [45], Proposition 2.2.4. However, the argument there is valid only when the maximal rationally connected fibration is flat, which is not a priori available. The study of intersection sheaves in [37] renders the flatness requirement redundant, and consequently the expected assertion is proved in [37], Section 4.3.

Remark 4.3. In the situation of Lemma 4.1, assume that $\deg f > 1$. Then, there exist a birational morphism $Y \rightarrow Y'$ onto a normal projective variety Y' with only canonical singularities such that $K_{Y'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ and a polarized endomorphism $f_{Y'}: Y' \rightarrow Y'$ compatible

with f_Y by Theorem 3.2. Applying [11], Theorem 5.1 to $f_{Y'}$, we infer that the set $\mathcal{Y}$ of periodic points of f_Y is Zariski dense in Y . Here, $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ if and only if $f_Y^r(y) = y$ for a positive integer $r = r(y)$. Thus, if $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ is general, then a multiple of f induces a non-isomorphic quasi-polarized endomorphism of the rationally connected variety $p^{-1}(y)$. Hence the study of quasi-polarized endomorphisms on uniruled varieties is reduced, to some extent, to that on rationally connected varieties.

Lemma 4.4. *In the situation of Lemma 4.1, assume that f is polarized. Let $\theta: Y' \rightarrow Y$ be a finite covering étale in codimension one from a normal variety Y' and let $f_{Y'}: Y' \rightarrow Y'$ be a polarized endomorphism such that $\theta \circ f_{Y'} = f_Y \circ \theta$. Then there exist normal projective varieties X', W' , finite coverings $\tau: X' \rightarrow X$ and $\delta: W' \rightarrow W$ both étale in codimension one, a birational morphism $\sigma': W' \rightarrow X'$, a fibration $p': W' \rightarrow Y'$ whose general fiber is rationally connected, and polarized endomorphisms $f': X' \rightarrow X'$, $f_{W'}: W' \rightarrow W'$ such that $\tau \circ f' = f \circ \tau$, $\sigma' \circ f_{W'} = f' \circ \sigma'$, $\delta \circ f_{W'} = f_W \circ \delta$, and $p' \circ f_{W'} = f_{Y'} \circ p'$; hence, the diagram below is commutative and all the varieties admit mutually compatible polarized endomorphisms:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y' & \xleftarrow{p'} & W' & \xrightarrow{\sigma'} & X' \\ \theta \downarrow & & \delta \downarrow & & \tau \downarrow \\ Y & \xleftarrow{p} & W & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & X. \end{array}$$

In particular, σ' , p' , $f_{W'}$, and $f_{Y'}$ satisfy the same conditions as in Lemma 4.1 for $f': X' \rightarrow X'$.

Proof. Let W' be the normalization of $W \times_Y Y'$. Let $\delta: W' \rightarrow W$ and $p': W' \rightarrow Y'$ be the morphisms induced from the first and second projections, respectively. Then, a general fiber of p' is also a rationally connected variety. In particular, W' is connected; thus W' is a normal projective variety. Since p is equi-dimensional, p' is also equi-dimensional and the finite morphism $\delta: W' \rightarrow W$ is étale in codimension one. As the Stein factorization of the composite $\sigma \circ \delta: W' \rightarrow W \rightarrow X$, we have a birational morphism $\sigma': W' \rightarrow X'$ and a finite morphism $\tau: X' \rightarrow X$ for a normal projective variety X' such that $\tau \circ \sigma' = \sigma \circ \delta$. Let $U \subset X$ be the domain of $\sigma^{-1}: X \dashrightarrow W$. Then, $\text{codim}(X \setminus U) \geq 2$, and the restriction $\tau^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ of τ is étale in codimension one, since so is δ . Therefore, $\tau: X' \rightarrow X$ is étale in codimension one.

A polarized endomorphism $f_{W'}: W' \rightarrow W'$ is induced from $f_W \times f_{Y'}$ of $W \times Y'$. It satisfies $\delta \circ f_{W'} = f_W \circ \delta$ and $p' \circ f_{W'} = f_{Y'} \circ p'$. Moreover, we have relations

$$(\tau \circ \sigma') \circ f_{W'} = (\sigma \circ \delta) \circ f_{W'} = \sigma \circ f_W \circ \delta = f \circ (\sigma \circ \delta) = f \circ (\tau \circ \sigma').$$

Thus, the Stein factorization of $(\tau \circ \sigma') \circ f_{W'}: W' \rightarrow X$ is given by the birational morphism $\sigma': W' \rightarrow X'$ and the finite morphism $f \circ \tau: X' \rightarrow X$. Since τ is finite, the Stein

factorization of $\sigma' \circ f_{W'}: W' \rightarrow X'$ is also given by the same birational morphism $\sigma': W' \rightarrow X'$. Therefore, we have an endomorphism $f': X' \rightarrow X'$ such that $\sigma' \circ f_{W'} = f' \circ \sigma'$. We have also $\tau \circ f' = f \circ \tau$ by the surjectivity of σ' and by the relation $(\tau \circ \sigma') \circ f_{W'} = f \circ (\tau \circ \sigma')$ above. The endomorphism f' is polarized by the pullback of an ample divisor on X polarizing f . Thus, we are done. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *In the situation of Lemma 4.1, assume that f is non-isomorphic and polarized. Then:*

- (1) *Y has only canonical singularities with $K_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$.*
- (2) *$q(X) \leq \tilde{q}(X) = q(Y) \leq q^\circ(Y) = q^\circ(Y, f_Y) = q^\natural(Y, f_Y) \leq \dim Y$ and $q^\natural(Y, f_Y) \leq q^\natural(X, f)$.*
- (3) *The homomorphism $p_*: \pi_1(W) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ of the fundamental groups is isomorphic and $\sigma_*: \pi_1(W) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ is surjective.*

Proof. The assertion (1) follows from Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 4.1. In particular, $q(Y) \leq q^\circ(Y) = q^\circ(Y, f_Y) = q^\natural(Y, f_Y) \leq \dim Y$ by Proposition 3.5. We can take birational morphisms $\mu_W: \tilde{W} \rightarrow W$ and $\mu_Y: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ from smooth projective varieties $\tilde{W}$ and $\tilde{Y}$, respectively, such that the induced rational map $\tilde{p}: \tilde{W} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$ is holomorphic and smooth over the complement of a normal crossing divisor on $\tilde{Y}$. Then, $q(\tilde{W}) \geq q(W) \geq q(X)$ by the injections $\sigma^*: H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(W, \mathcal{O}_W)$ and $\mu_W^*: H^1(W, \mathcal{O}_W) \rightarrow H^1(\tilde{W}, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{W}})$. On the other hand, $q(\tilde{Y}) = \tilde{q}(Y) = q(Y)$, since Y has only rational singularities. We have $R^1 \tilde{p}_* \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{W}} = 0$ by Kollár's torsion free theorem [26], since a general fiber of $\tilde{p}$ is rationally connected. Hence, $q(\tilde{W}) = q(\tilde{Y})$, consequently, $q(Y) = \tilde{q}(X) \geq q(X)$. For the proof of (2), it remains to show the inequality: $q^\circ(Y, f_Y) \leq q^\natural(X, f)$. We can take a normal projective variety Y' , a finite covering $\theta: Y' \rightarrow Y$ étale in codimension one, and an endomorphism $f_{Y'}: Y' \rightarrow Y'$ such that $\theta \circ f_{Y'} = f_Y \circ \theta$ and $q(Y') = q^\circ(Y, f_Y)$. By Lemma 4.4, we have a normal projective variety X' , a finite covering $\tau: X' \rightarrow X$ étale in codimension one, and an endomorphism $f': X' \rightarrow X'$ such that $\tau \circ f' = f \circ \tau$ and $f_{Y'}$ is obtained from f' as in Lemma 4.1. Hence, $q^\natural(X, f) \geq \tilde{q}(X') = q(Y')$ by the argument above. Thus, the assertion (2) has been proved.

Next, we shall prove (3). Let $U \subset X$ be a Zariski open dense subset of X such that $\mu_W^{-1} \sigma^{-1}(U) \simeq \sigma^{-1}(U) \simeq U$ for the birational morphisms σ and μ_W . Note that the homomorphism $\pi_1(U) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ associated with the open immersion $U \hookrightarrow X$ is surjective, since X is normal. Similarly, $\pi_1(\sigma^{-1}(U)) \rightarrow \pi_1(W)$ and $\pi_1(\mu_W^{-1} \sigma^{-1}(U)) \rightarrow \pi_1(\tilde{W})$ are surjective. Thus, $\mu_{W*}: \pi_1(\tilde{W}) \rightarrow \pi_1(W)$ and $\sigma_*: \pi_1(W) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ are surjective. On the other hand, by [43], $\mu_{Y*}: \pi_1(\tilde{Y}) \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is an isomorphism, since Y has only canonical singularities. Moreover, $\tilde{p}_*: \pi_1(\tilde{W}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\tilde{Y})$ is an isomorphism, by [27], Theorem 5.2 (cf.

[39], Lemma 5.3). Hence, $\pi_1(\widetilde{W}) \simeq \pi_1(W) \simeq \pi_1(\widetilde{Y}) \simeq \pi_1(Y)$. Thus the assertion (3) has been proved. $\square$

Corollary 4.6. *Let X be an n -dimensional normal projective variety admitting a non-isomorphic polarized endomorphism $f: X \rightarrow X$. Then:*

- (1) *The inequality $q^{\natural}(X, f) \leq n$ holds, in which the equality holds if and only if X is $\mathbb{Q}$ -abelian.*
- (2) *If Conjecture 1.2 is true for the varieties of dimension at most $n - q^{\natural}(X, f)$, then $\pi_1(X)$ contains a finite-index subgroup which is a finitely generated abelian group of rank at most $2q^{\natural}(X, f)$.*

Proof. (1): Suppose that X is not uniruled. Then, X has only canonical singularities and $K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ by Theorem 3.2. Hence, $q^{\natural}(X, f) \leq n$ in which the equality holds if and only if X is $\mathbb{Q}$ -abelian, by Proposition 3.5. Therefore, we have only to show $q^{\natural}(X, f) < n$ assuming that X is uniruled. By replacing X with a finite covering $\widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ étale in codimension one and by replacing f with an endomorphism of $\widehat{X}$ compatible with the original f , we may assume that $q^{\natural}(X, f) = \tilde{q}(X)$. Then, for the morphisms $\sigma: W \rightarrow X$ and $p: W \rightarrow Y$ in Lemma 4.1, we have $\tilde{q}(X) = q(Y) \leq \dim Y < \dim X = n$ by Lemma 4.5, (2). Thus, the assertion (1) has been proved.

(2): Let $\hat{\tau}: \widehat{X} \rightarrow X$ be a finite covering étale in codimension one from a normal projective variety $\widehat{X}$ and $\hat{f}: \widehat{X} \rightarrow \widehat{X}$ an endomorphism such that $\hat{\tau} \circ \hat{f} = f \circ \hat{\tau}$. Then, $\tau_*: \pi_1(\hat{\tau}^{-1}(X_{\text{reg}})) \rightarrow \pi_1(X_{\text{reg}})$ is injective and its image is a finite-index subgroup (cf. Remark 1.4). Since the natural inclusion $X_{\text{reg}} \hookrightarrow X$ induces a surjection $\pi_1(X_{\text{reg}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$, the image of $\tau_*: \pi_1(\widehat{X}) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ is also a finite-index subgroup. Thus, we may replace (X, f) with $(\widehat{X}, \hat{f})$. Therefore, we can assume that $q^{\natural}(X, f) = \tilde{q}(X)$. Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be the positive integer defined by $\mathfrak{q}^n = \deg f$.

Assume first that X is not uniruled. Then, X has only canonical singularities and $K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ by Theorem 3.2. By Proposition 3.5, we may assume that $X = A \times S$ and $f = f_A \times f_S$ for an abelian variety A , a weak Calabi–Yau variety S , and polarized endomorphisms $f_A: A \rightarrow A$ and $f_S: S \rightarrow S$ with $\deg f_A = \mathfrak{q}^{\dim A}$ and $\deg f_S = \mathfrak{q}^{\dim S}$, respectively. Since $\dim S = n - \dim A = n - q^{\natural}(X, f)$, we infer that S is a point by our assumption on Conjecture 1.2. Thus, $n = q^{\natural}(X, f)$, $X = A$, and $\pi_1(X)$ is a free abelian group of rank $2n = 2q^{\natural}(X, f)$. Therefore, the assertion (2) is true when X is not uniruled.

Assume next that X is uniruled. Let $\sigma: W \rightarrow X$ and $p: W \rightarrow Y$ be as in Lemma 4.1. Then, we have a surjection $\pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$ by Lemma 4.5, (3). In particular, if Y is a point, then $\pi_1(X)$ is trivial. Thus, we may assume that $\dim Y > 0$. Then, Y is not uniruled and it admits a polarized endomorphism $f_Y: Y \rightarrow Y$ of degree $\mathfrak{q}^{\dim Y} > 1$ by Lemma 4.1. We have $q^{\natural}(Y, f_Y) = q^{\circ}(Y, f_Y) = \tilde{q}(X) = q^{\natural}(X, f)$ by Lemma 4.5, (2).

Since $\dim Y - q^\sharp(Y, f_Y) < n - q^\sharp(X, f)$, we can apply the previous argument to the non-uniruled variety Y . Thus, $\pi_1(Y)$ contains a finite-index subgroup which is a finitely generated abelian group of rank at most $2q^\sharp(Y, f_Y) = 2q^\sharp(X, f)$. Hence, $\pi_1(X)$ has the same property, since we have the surjection $\pi_1(Y) \rightarrow \pi_1(X)$. Therefore, the assertion (2) has been proved. $\square$

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1, which is a consequence of Theorems 3.2 and 3.4, Proposition 3.5, and Lemmas 4.1 and 4.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If X is not uniruled, then it is proved in Theorems 3.2 and 3.4, and Proposition 3.5. Thus, we may assume that X is uniruled. We apply Lemma 4.1 to the polarized endomorphism $f: X \rightarrow X$. Let $\sigma: W \rightarrow X$, $p: W \rightarrow Y$, $f_W: W \rightarrow W$, and $f_Y: Y \rightarrow Y$ be the same objects as in Lemma 4.1. Then Y has only canonical singularities and $K_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ by Theorem 3.2. Moreover, by Proposition 3.5, there exist a finite surjective morphism $A \times S \rightarrow Y$ étale in codimension one from the direct product $A \times S$ for an abelian variety A and a weak Calabi–Yau variety S , and polarized endomorphisms f_A , f_S such that $f_A \times f_S: A \times S \rightarrow A \times S$ is compatible with f_Y . Here, if $\dim S > 0$, then $\dim S \geq 4$ and S has a non-quotient singularity by Theorem 3.4.

Let Z be the normalization of the fiber product of $p: W \rightarrow Y$ and $A \times S \rightarrow Y$. Let $\varpi: Z \rightarrow A \times S$ be the morphism induced from the second projection and let $Z \xrightarrow{\rho} V \xrightarrow{\tau} X$ be the Stein factorization of $Z \rightarrow W \xrightarrow{\sigma} X$. Then, we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A \times S & \xleftarrow{\varpi} & Z & \xrightarrow{\rho} & V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \tau \\ Y & \xleftarrow{p} & W & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & X. \end{array}$$

By Lemma 4.4, the following hold:

- Z is irreducible.
- The fibration $Z \rightarrow A \times S$ is equi-dimensional and is birational to the maximal rationally connected fibration of a smooth model of Z .
- The finite surjective morphisms $Z \rightarrow W$ and $V \rightarrow X$ are étale in codimension one.
- There exist polarized endomorphisms $f_Z: Z \rightarrow Z$ and $f_V: V \rightarrow V$ such that f_V is compatible with f and that f_Z is compatible with f_W , f_V and $f_A \times f_S$.

Let $\pi: V \dashrightarrow A \times S$ be the rational map $\varpi \circ \rho^{-1}$. Then Z is just the normalization of the graph Γ_π of π . In particular, $\Gamma_\pi \rightarrow A \times S$ is equi-dimensional. Thus, the conditions required in Theorem 1.1 are all satisfied. $\square$

The proof of Theorem 1.3 uses the following:

Lemma 4.7. *Let Z be the normalization of the graph of $\pi: V \cdots \rightarrow A \times S$ in Theorem 1.1 and let $\varpi: Z \rightarrow A \times S$ be the induced equi-dimensional morphism. Suppose that $\dim S = 0$. Then ϖ is flat, and any fiber of ϖ is irreducible, normal, and rationally connected. If $\dim Z = \dim A + 1$, then ϖ is a holomorphic $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle.*

Proof. Let $V \rightarrow X$, $Z \rightarrow V$, $Z \rightarrow A$, and $A \rightarrow Y$ be as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, where S is a point. We may assume that $\dim A > 0$. Let Z_1 be the fiber product of $\varpi: Z \rightarrow A$ and $f_A: A \rightarrow A$. Then the other endomorphism $f_Z: Z \rightarrow Z$ induces a commutative diagram

$$(*) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\psi} & Z_1 & \xrightarrow{p_1} & Z \\ \varpi \downarrow & & p_2 \downarrow & & \varpi \downarrow \\ A & \xlongequal{\quad} & A & \xrightarrow{f_A} & A, \end{array}$$

where p_1 and p_2 denote the first and second projections, and $f_Z = p_1 \circ \psi$. Note that p_1 is étale, since so is f_A . Thus, Z_1 is also a normal projective variety and ψ is a finite surjective morphism.

Step 1. We shall prove: *If a subset $\Sigma \subset A$ is not Zariski dense and $f_A^{-1}(\Sigma) \subset \Sigma$, then $\Sigma = \emptyset$.*

We shall derive a contradiction by assuming $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$. First, we note that $f_A^{-1}(\overline{\Sigma}) \subset \overline{\Sigma}$ for the Zariski-closure $\overline{\Sigma}$ of Σ . In fact, by assumption, we have $f_A^{-1}(A \setminus \Sigma) \supset A \setminus \Sigma \supset A \setminus \overline{\Sigma} \neq \emptyset$. Thus, $f_A(A \setminus \overline{\Sigma})$ is a Zariski-open subset contained in $A \setminus \Sigma$, since f_A is an open map. Hence $f_A(A \setminus \overline{\Sigma}) \subset A \setminus \overline{\Sigma}$, and equivalently, $f_A^{-1}(\overline{\Sigma}) \subset \overline{\Sigma}$. Therefore, replacing Σ with $\overline{\Sigma}$, we may assume that Σ is Zariski-closed. There is a positive integer l such that $f_A^{-l}(\Sigma) = f_A^{-l-1}(\Sigma)$ by the Noetherian condition for Zariski-closed subsets. Hence, $f_A^{-1}(\Sigma) = \Sigma$. Replacing f with a power f^k , we may assume that f_A^{-1} preserves every irreducible component of Σ . Thus, we may assume that Σ is irreducible. Let $f_\Sigma: \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ be the polarized endomorphism of Σ induced from f_A . Then $\deg f_A = \mathbf{q}^{\dim A}$ and $\deg f_\Sigma = \mathbf{q}^{\dim \Sigma}$ for some $\mathbf{q} > 1$ by Lemma 2.1. On the other hand, $\deg f_\Sigma = \deg f_A$, since f_A is étale. Thus, $\dim \Sigma = \dim A$. This is a contradiction.

Step 2. We shall prove: *Any fiber of ϖ is irreducible.*

Let Σ be the set of points $y \in A$ such that $\varpi^{-1}(y)$ is reducible. Then $\varpi^{-1}(y')$ is reducible for any $y' \in f_A^{-1}(y)$, since ψ in the diagram $(*)$ is surjective. Thus, $f_A^{-1}(\Sigma) \subset \Sigma$. Since a general fiber of ϖ is irreducible, we have $\Sigma = \emptyset$ by *Step 1*.

Step 3. We shall prove: *ϖ is flat.*

Let L be an ample divisor on Z such that $f_Z^*L \sim \mathbf{q}L$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{Z_1}$ is a direct summand of $\psi_*\mathcal{O}_Z$ (cf. the first part of the proof of Lemma 4.8 below), we infer that $\varpi_*\mathcal{O}_Z(f_Z^*L) \simeq$

$\varpi_*\mathcal{O}_Z(\mathbf{q}L)$ contains

$$p_{2*}\mathcal{O}_{Z_1}(p_1^*L) \simeq f_A^*(\varpi_*\mathcal{O}_Z(L))$$

as a direct summand. In particular, if $\varpi_*\mathcal{O}_Z(\mathbf{q}L)$ is locally free at a point $y \in A$, then so is $\varpi_*\mathcal{O}_Z(L)$ at $f_A(y)$. Let U be the set of points $y \in A$ such that ϖ is flat along $\varpi^{-1}(y)$. Then U is a Zariski open dense subset. The argument above says that $f_A(U) \subset U$, since $y \in U$ if and only if $\varpi_*\mathcal{O}_Z(mL)$ is locally free at y for $m \gg 0$ (cf. [16], Proposition 7.9.14). Thus, for the complement Σ of U in A , we have $f_A^{-1}(\Sigma) \subset \Sigma$. Then $\Sigma = \emptyset$ by *Step 1*, and hence ϖ is flat.

Step 4. We shall prove: *Any fiber of ϖ is normal.*

Let Σ be the set of points $y \in A$ such that the fiber $F_y := \varpi^{-1}(y)$ is not normal. We fix a point $y \in \Sigma$ and a non-normal point x of F_y . For a point $y' \in f_A^{-1}(y)$, let x' be a point of $F_{y'}$ such that $f(x') = x$. Then, $x_1 := \psi(x')$ is a point of Z_1 such that $\{x_1\} = p_1^{-1}(x) \cap p_2^{-1}(y')$. Note that x_1 is a non-normal point of $p_2^{-1}(y')$, since $p_2^{-1}(y') \simeq F_{y'}$.

Assume that $y' \notin \Sigma$, i.e., $F_{y'}$ is normal. We have affine open neighborhoods $U' \subset Z$ and $U_1 \subset Z_1$ of x' and x_1 , respectively, such that $U' = \psi^{-1}(U_1)$. Thus, $U' = \text{Spec } R'$ and $U_1 = \text{Spec } R_1$ for finitely generated $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras R' and R_1 such that R' and R_1 are normal domains, R_1 is a subalgebra of R' and that R' is a finite R_1 -module. Let I be the ideal of R_1 defining the closed subscheme $U_1 \cap p_2^{-1}(y') \simeq U_1 \times_A \{y'\}$. Then, R'/IR' is normal, since $U' \cap F_{y'} \simeq U' \times_A \{y'\}$ is normal. Thus, R_1/I is normal by Lemma 4.8 below. Therefore, $p_2^{-1}(y')$ is normal at x_1 . This is a contradiction. Thus, $y' \in \Sigma$. Hence, $f_A^{-1}(\Sigma) \subset \Sigma$. Since a general fiber of ϖ is normal, we have $\Sigma = \emptyset$ by *Step 1*,

Step 5. We shall prove: *Any fiber of ϖ is rationally connected.*

A general fiber of ϖ is rationally connected by the construction of ϖ in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let Σ be the set of points $y \in A$ such that the fiber $F_y = \varpi^{-1}(y)$ is not rationally connected. If $F_{y'}$ is rationally connected for a point $y' \in f_A^{-1}(y)$, then F_y is rationally connected, since $F_{y'} \rightarrow F_y$ is surjective. Thus, $f_A^{-1}(\Sigma) \subset \Sigma$. Therefore, $\Sigma = \emptyset$ by *Step 1*.

Step 6. End of the proof:

Finally, we consider the case where $\dim Z/A = 1$. Then, ϖ is flat and any fiber of ϖ is $\mathbb{P}^1$ by *Steps 2–5*. In particular, ϖ is smooth and is a holomorphic $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle. Thus, we are done. $\square$

The lemma below on commutative algebra is used in *Step 4* of the proof of Lemma 4.7:

Lemma 4.8. *Let R_0 and R_1 be commutative algebras finitely generated over a field k of characteristic zero. Assume that R_0 and R_1 are normal integral domains, R_0 is a*

k -subalgebra of R_1 and that R_1 is a finite R_0 -module. Let I be an ideal of R_0 such that R_1/IR_1 is normal. Then, R_0/I is also normal.

Proof. Since the characteristic of k is zero, R_0 is a direct summand of the R_0 -module R_1 . This is shown as follows: Let K_i be the field of fractions of R_i for $i = 0, 1$. Then, K_1 is a finite extension of K_0 . Let $t: K_1 \rightarrow K_0$ be the trace map of the extension K_1/K_0 : for $a \in K_1$, $t(a)$ is the trace of the multiplication map $\mu(a): K_1 \rightarrow K_1$ by a . The composite $K_0 \rightarrow K_1 \xrightarrow{t} K_0$ with the canonical inclusion $K_0 \hookrightarrow K_1$ is just the multiplication map by $\deg(K_1/K_0) = \dim_{K_0} K_1$. We have $t(R_1) \subset R_0$, since the eigenvalues of $\mu(a)$ for $a \in R_1$ are integral over R_0 and since R_0 is integrally closed in K_0 . Moreover, the map $R_1 \rightarrow R_0$ induced by t , is R_0 -linear. Thus, R_0 is a direct summand of the R_0 -module R_1 , since $\deg(K_1/K_0) \neq 0$ in k .

Therefore, the natural homomorphism

$$R_0/I \rightarrow R_1 \otimes_{R_0} (R_0/I) \simeq R_1/IR_1$$

is injective and R_0/I is regarded as a direct summand of R_1/IR_1 . Since R_1/IR_1 is an integral domain, so is R_0/I . Let $\overline{R}$ be the normalization of R_0/I . Then, $R_0/I \subset \overline{R} \subset R_1/IR_1$, since R_1/IR_1 is normal. Thus, R_0/I is a direct summand of the R_0/I -module $\overline{R}$. Let $\overline{R} \rightarrow R_0/I$ be a projection to the direct summand and let M be the kernel of $\overline{R} \rightarrow R_0/I$. Then, $M \otimes_{R_0/I} \overline{K} = 0$ for the field $\overline{K}$ of fractions of R/I_0 . Since $\overline{R} \rightarrow \overline{R} \otimes_{R_0/I} \overline{K} \simeq \overline{K}$ is injective, we have $M = 0$. Therefore, $R/I_0 \simeq \overline{R}$, i.e., R/I_0 is normal. $\square$

A holomorphic $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle is not necessarily associated with a locally free sheaf of rank two. But we have the following result on holomorphic $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundles over abelian varieties:

Lemma 4.9. *Let $Z \rightarrow A$ be a holomorphic $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over an abelian variety A . For the multiplication map $\nu_2: A \rightarrow A$ by 2, let $Z' \rightarrow A$ be the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle obtained by the pullback of $Z \rightarrow A$ by ν_2 . Then, there exists a locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ of rank two on A such that $Z' \simeq \mathbb{P}_A(\mathcal{E})$ and $\det \mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_A$.*

Proof. We have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2,A} \rightarrow \underline{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}_A) \rightarrow \underline{PGL}(2, \mathcal{O}_A) \rightarrow 1$$

of sheaves of non-commutative groups on A , where $\underline{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}_A)$ (resp. $\underline{PGL}(2, \mathcal{O}_A)$) is the sheaf of germs of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -valued (resp. $PGL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -valued) holomorphic functions on A , and $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{2,A} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_A$ is the constant sheaf of $\boldsymbol{\mu}_2 = \{\pm 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}^*$. Since $\boldsymbol{\mu}_2$ is the center of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$, we have an associated exact sequence

$$\check{H}^1(A, \underline{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}_A)) \rightarrow \check{H}^1(A, \underline{PGL}(2, \mathcal{O}_A)) \rightarrow H^2(A, \boldsymbol{\mu}_{2,A})$$

of the Čech cohomology sets. The holomorphic $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle Z/A is associated with an element of η of $\check{H}^1(A, \underline{PGL}(2, \mathcal{O}_A))$. If the image $\bar{\eta}$ in $H^2(A, \mu_{2,A})$ is zero, then $Z \simeq \mathbb{P}_A(\mathcal{E})$ for a locally free sheaf $\mathcal{E}$ of rank two with $\det \mathcal{E} \simeq \mathcal{O}_A$ which is associated with an element of $\check{H}^1(A, \underline{SL}(2, \mathcal{O}_A))$. Thus, it is enough to show that $\bar{\eta}$ is mapped to zero by the homomorphism $\nu_2^*: H^2(A, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(A, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. The pullback homomorphism $\nu_2^*: H^1(A, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(A, \mathbb{Z})$ is just the multiplication map by 2. Since $H^3(A, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \wedge^3 H^1(A, \mathbb{Z})$ is torsion free, the natural sequence

$$H^2(A, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{2\times} H^2(A, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(A, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact; equivalently, $H^2(A, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \simeq H^2(A, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$. Hence, $\nu_2^*: H^2(A, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(A, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ is zero; in particular, $\bar{\eta}$ is mapped to zero by ν_2^* . Thus, we are done. $\square$

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.3, which follows essentially from Theorems 1.1, 3.2, and 3.4, Lemmas 4.1, 4.5, and 4.7, and Corollary 4.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. We may assume that $n = \dim X > 0$. We have $\kappa(X) \leq 0$ by Theorem 1.1. The inequality $q^h(X, f) \leq n$ and the assertion (2) are proved in Corollary 4.6, (1).

We shall prove (1): Assume that $q^h(X, f) = 0$ and Conjecture 1.2 is true for varieties of dimension at most $n = \dim X$. If X is not uniruled, then X is Q-abelian by the conjecture; thus $n = q^h(X, f) = 0$ by Corollary 4.6, (1). Hence X is uniruled. Let $\sigma: W \rightarrow X$ and $p: W \rightarrow Y$ be as in Lemma 4.1. Since $\dim Y \leq n$, Y is Q-abelian by the conjecture. On the other hand, $q^o(Y) = 0$ by Lemma 4.5, (2). Thus, Y is a point. This means that X is rationally connected.

Next, we shall prove (3) and (4): Suppose that Conjecture 1.2 is true for varieties of dimension at most $n - q^h(X, f)$. Let $\tau: V \rightarrow X$, $\rho: Z \rightarrow V$, $\varpi: Z \rightarrow A \times S$, and $A \times S \rightarrow Y$ be as in the proof of Theorem 1.1. By the proof of Corollary 4.6, (2), we infer that S is a point and $q^h(X, f) = \dim A$. Then $\varpi: Z \rightarrow A$ is a flat morphism whose fibers are all irreducible, normal, and rationally connected by Lemma 4.7. We have polarized endomorphisms $f_V: V \rightarrow V$, $f_Z: Z \rightarrow Z$, and $f_A: A \rightarrow A$ satisfying the compatibility conditions in (3) by the proof of Theorem 1.1. Thus the assertion (3) follows.

Suppose that $q^h(X, f) = n - 1$. Then $\varpi: Z \rightarrow A$ is a holomorphic $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle by Lemma 4.7. Assume that $\rho: Z \rightarrow V$ is an isomorphism. Then, this corresponds to the case (4a) in a weak sense. However, by replacing V by a finite étale covering, we can prove that V is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle associated with a locally free sheaf of rank two, as follows. By Lemma 4.9, the fiber product $Z \times_{A, \nu_2} A$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle associated with a locally free sheaf of rank two for the multiplication map $\nu_2: A \rightarrow A$ by 2 with respect to a certain group structure of A . There is an endomorphism $f'_A: A \rightarrow A$ such that $\nu_2 \circ f'_A = f_A \circ \nu_2$,

by [39], Lemma 4.9. Thus, we may replace $Z \simeq V$ with the étale covering $Z \times_{A, \nu_2} A$ by Lemma 4.4 (cf. the proof of Theorem 1.1).

Assume next that $\rho: Z \rightarrow V$ is not isomorphic. Let $E \subset Z$ be the exceptional locus. Then $f_Z^{-1}(E) = E$, since f_Z is compatible with f_V . Moreover, $f_A^{-1}(\varpi(E)) = \varpi(E)$, since $\psi: Z \rightarrow Z_1$ is surjective. Thus, $\varpi(E) = A$ by *Step 1* in the proof of Lemma 4.7. Let $\Sigma \subset A$ be the set of points $y \in A$ such that $\varpi^{-1}(y) \subset E$. Then $f_A^{-1}(\Sigma) \subset \Sigma$. Hence, $\Sigma = \emptyset$ by *Step 1* in the proof of Lemma 4.7. Therefore, $\varpi|_E: E \rightarrow A$ is a finite surjective morphism. It is enough to show that E is a section of ϖ , which is equivalent to that $\varpi|_E: E \rightarrow A$ is bijective, since A is normal. If E is a section of ϖ , then ϖ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle associated with the locally free sheaf $\varpi_*\mathcal{O}_Z(E)$ of rank two.

Let $P \in A$ be an arbitrary point. Then, there exists a positive-dimensional fiber Γ of $E \subset Z \rightarrow V$ such that $P \in \varpi(\Gamma)$. Let C be the normalization of an irreducible curve in $\varpi(\Gamma)$ passing through P and let $\nu: C \rightarrow A$ be the induced finite morphism. Then, $Z \times_A C$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over C . It suffices to prove that the support of $E \times_A C$ is a section of the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle. Note that $Z \times_A C \rightarrow Z \rightarrow V$ is generically injective and it contracts any irreducible component γ of $E \times_A C$ to a point. Since $Z \times_A C$ is a $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle over C , the irreducible component γ is a unique curve of $Z \times_A C$ with negative self-intersection number and it is a section of the $\mathbb{P}^1$ -bundle. Hence the support of $E \times_A C$ is just the section γ . Therefore, E is a section of ϖ , and the condition (4b) is satisfied. Thus, we are done. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Amerik, Maps onto certain Fano threefolds, *Doc. Math.* **2** (1997), 195–211.
- [2] E. Amerik, On endomorphisms of projective bundles, *Manuscripta Math.* **111** (2003), 17–28.
- [3] E. Amerik, M. Rovinsky and A. Van de Ven, A boundedness theorem for morphisms between threefolds, *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)* **49** (1999), 405–415.
- [4] A. Beauville, Variété Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle, *J. Diff. Geom.* **18** (1983), 755–782.
- [5] G. Birkhoff, Linear transformations with invariant cones, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **74** (1967), 274–276.
- [6] S. Boucksom, J.-P. Demailly, M. Paun and T. Peternell, The pseudo-effective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension, preprint 2004 (math.AG/0405285).
- [7] F. Campana, Connexité rationnelle des variétés de Fano, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **25** (1992), 539–545.
- [8] F. Campana, Orbifolds à première classe de Chern nulle, *The Fano Conference*, pp. 339–351, Univ. Torino, 2004.
- [9] S. Cantat, Endomorphismes de variétés homogènes, *Enseign. Math.* **49** (2003), 237–262.
- [10] S. D. Cutkosky, Zariski decomposition of divisors on algebraic varieties, *Duke Math. J.*, **53** (1986), 149–156.

- [11] N. Fakhruddin, Questions on self maps of algebraic varieties, *J. Ramanujan Math. Soc.* **18** (2) (2003), 109–122.
- [12] Y. Fujimoto, Endomorphisms of smooth projective 3-folds with nonnegative Kodaira dimension, *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto Univ.* **38** (2002), 33–92.
- [13] Y. Fujimoto and N. Nakayama, Compact complex surfaces admitting non-trivial surjective endomorphisms, *Tohoku Math. J.* **57** (2005), 395–426.
- [14] Y. Fujimoto and N. Nakayama, Endomorphisms of smooth projective 3-folds with non-negative Kodaira dimension, II, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **47** (2007), 79–114.
- [15] T. Fujita, Zariski decomposition and canonical rings of elliptic threefolds, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **38** (1986), 19–37.
- [16] A. Grothendieck, Éléments de géométrie algébrique: III. Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérents, II, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.* **17** (1963), 5–91.
- [17] T. Graber, J. Harris and J. Starr, Families of rationally connected varieties, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (2003), 57–67.
- [18] M. Hanamura, Structure of birational automorphism groups, I: non-uniruled varieties, *Invent. Math.* **93** (1988), 383–403.
- [19] C. Horst, Compact varieties of surjective holomorphic endomorphisms, *Math. Z.* **190** (1985), 499–504.
- [20] J.-M. Hwang and N. Mok, Finite morphisms onto Fano manifolds of Picard number 1 which have rational curves with trivial normal bundles, *J. Alg. Geom.* **12** (2003), 627–651.
- [21] Y. Kawamata, Characterization of abelian varieties, *Comp. Math.* **43** (1981), 253–276.
- [22] Y. Kawamata, The cone of curves of algebraic varieties, *Ann. Math.*, **119** (1984), 603–633.
- [23] Y. Kawamata, Minimal models and the Kodaira dimension of algebraic fiber spaces, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **363** (1985), 1–46.
- [24] Y. Kawamata, The Zariski decomposition of log-canonical divisors, *Algebraic Geometry, Bowdoin, 1985* (S. Bloch ed.), *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, **46**, pp. 425–433, Amer. Math. Soc., 1987.
- [25] Y. Kawamata, K. Matsuda and K. Matsuki, Introduction to the minimal model problem, *Algebraic geometry, Sendai, 1985* (T. Oda ed.), pp. 283–360, *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, **10**, Kinokuniya and North-Holland, 1987.
- [26] J. Kollár, Higher direct images of dualizing sheaves, II, *Ann. of Math.* **124** (1986), 171–202.
- [27] J. Kollár, Shararevich maps and plurigeners of algebraic varieties, *Invent. Math.* **113** (1993), 177–215.
- [28] J. Kollár and S. Mori, *Birational geometry of algebraic varieties*, Cambridge Tracts in Math., **134**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.
- [29] J. Kollár, Y. Miyaoka and S. Mori, Rational connected varieties, *J. Alg. Geom.* **1** (1992), 429–448.
- [30] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry II*, Positivity for vector bundles, and multiplier ideals, *Ergeb. der Math. und ihr. Grenz.* **3. Folge**, **49**, Springer, 2004.
- [31] Y. Miyaoka and S. Mori, A numerical criterion for uniruledness, *Ann. of Math.* **124** (1986), 65–69.
- [32] A. Moriwaki, Semi-ampleness of the numerically effective part of Zariski-decomposition, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **26** (1986), 461–481.
- [33] D. Mumford, *Abelian Varieties*, Tata Inst. of Fund. Research, Oxford Univ. Press, 1970.
- [34] N. Nakayama, Compact Kähler manifolds whose universal covering spaces are biholomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^n$, *preprint RIMS-1230*, Kyoto Univ., 1999; currently in the midst of revision.

- [35] N. Nakayama, Ruled surfaces with non-trivial surjective endomorphisms, *Kyushu J. Math.* **56** (2002), 433–446.
- [36] N. Nakayama, *Zariski-decomposition and abundance*, MSJ Memoirs Vol. **14**, Math. Soc. Japan, 2004.
- [37] N. Nakayama, Intersection sheaves over normal schemes, preprint RIMS-**1614**, Kyoto Univ., 2007.
- [38] N. Nakayama, On complex normal projective surfaces admitting non-isomorphic surjective endomorphisms, in preparation.
- [39] N. Nakayama and D.-Q. Zhang, Building blocks of étale endomorphisms of complex projective manifolds, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (to appear), also: arXiv:**0903.3729**.
- [40] K. H. Paranjape and V. Srinivas, Self-maps of homogeneous spaces, *Invent. Math.* **98** (1989), 425–444.
- [41] M. Reid, Canonical 3-folds, *Journées de Géométrie Algébrique d'Angers* (ed. A. Beauville), pp. 273–310, Sijthoff and Noordhoff, 1980.
- [42] N. I. Shepherd-Barron and P. M. H. Wilson, Singular threefolds with numerically trivial first and second Chern classes, *J. Alg. Geom.* **3** (1994), 265–281.
- [43] S. Takayama, Local simple connectedness of resolutions of log-terminal singularities, *Internat. J. Math.* **14** (2003), 825–836.
- [44] S. T. Yau, On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge–Ampère equations, I, *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.* **31** (1978), 339–411.
- [45] S.-W. Zhang, Distributions in algebraic dynamics, *Surveys in Differential Geometry*, vol. **10**, pp. 381–430, Int. Press, 2006.

RESEARCH INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

KYOTO UNIVERSITY, KYOTO 606-8502, JAPAN

E-mail address: nakayama@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE, 2 SCIENCE DRIVE 2, SINGAPORE 117543, SINGAPORE

E-mail address: matzdzq@nus.edu.sg